

## **CODESIGN TOOL:**

## Research Evaluation Guide



Dimension	Highest quality	Acceptable quality	Unacceptable quality
Methodological quality  Uses methods with care that fit goals and help to answer the research question	Demonstrates the highest level of methodological rigor and appropriateness for research goals and claims.  What aspects of the methods make me/ us believe this research is of the highest quality for transformation?	Utilizes reasonable and appropriate methods to achieve goals and support claims.  What aspects of the methods make me/us believe this research is of acceptable quality for transformation?	Does not use adequately rigorous or appropriate methods to achieve goals or claims  What aspects of the methods make me/us believe this research is not acceptable for transformation?
Relevance, actionability	Research is especially relevant to a known educational need or issue, with clearly articulated actions based on findings.  Why do we believe that this research is especially relevant? Clearly actionable?	Research is likely relevant to current policy and practice needs, and some actions are suggested or could be derived.  Why do we believe that this research is likely to be relevant? Actionable?	Research is not relevant to known issues in policy and practice; difficult to ascertain possible actions.  Why do we believe that this research is minimally relevant? Not particularly actionable?

Equity focus: Research process	Research explicitly attends to equity and inclusion when it was designed/conducted.  Why do we believe this research explicitly attended to issues of equity and inclusion in how it was conducted?	Research has made some effort to be conducted with inclusion or equity in mind.  Why do we believe this research may have been conducted with equity and inclusion in mind?	Research has been conducted in ways that are exclusive or inequitable.  Why are we concerned that this research may not have been conducted with equity and inclusion in mind?
Equity focus: Findings and implications	Research explicitly discusses issues of equity in findings and implications that can inform implementation in policy/practice.  Why do we believe that implications for equity are present and explicit in this research?	Implications for equity in findings and implications are evident, if not explicit.  Why do we believe that we can identify and attend to issues of equity based on findings and implications?	Findings and implications do not adequately support our consideration of equity issues in policy/practice.  Why does it seem that equity issues have been ignored or disregarded in terms of the findings and implications of this research?